SRWT Facebook post 17th December 2016

Following the Council's sudden decision yesterday (which we understand was published this morning) and immediate action to fell all the 8 mature trees on Rustlings Road, we would call in to question the purpose of the Independent Tree Panel.

The Panel has taken time and effort to carry out thoughtful and objective reviews of key street trees in the city, in response to local people's request, and then advise the Council accordingly. And yet this advice has had no effect on the Council's action.

At Sheffield and Rotherham Wildlife Trust, we fully recognise the need to manage trees across the city and implement an ongoing plan of renewal and replacement – over a period of years.

We fully recognise that Amey and the Council are dealing with a major backlog of poor street tree maintenance.

However, we strongly question the approaches being used: to set up a tree forum and abandon it, to set up an independent tree panel and then disregard their advice, to hold back the publication of letters from the ITP, to start towing away cars, knocking on doors and felling trees, with a police presence, in the early hours of the morning – surely there has to be a more constructive approach?

Webpage updates

Sheffield Street Trees News Updates

Thursday 17th November 2016



Sheffield Street Tree Removal this morning by Amey, Richard Pethen

Following the Council's sudden decision yesterday (which we understand was published this morning) and immediate action to fell 7 out of 8 mature trees on Rustlings Road, we would call in to question the purpose of the Independent Tree Panel.

The Panel has taken time and effort to carry out thoughtful and objective reviews of key street trees in the city, in response to local people's request, and then advise the Council accordingly. And yet this advice has had no effect on the Council's action.

The standard response to every suggestion put to the Council by the ITP is: After a review of the costs of building engineering solutions to retain trees that involve changes to the highway on a number of sample tree situations the cost of applying similar bespoke engineering solutions which are outside the Streets Ahead contract, across the rest of the highway network is estimated to be of the order of £14-26 million. The Council does not have such additional funding available and many solutions would also be of a short term nature.

There is no arboricultural need to remove and replace this tree.

Despite the ITP stating the following:

The tree opposite number 25 is in good condition with good life expectancy. There is no arboricultural need to remove and replace this tree. The tree is causing some disruption to the pavement. It is not causing disruption to the kerb. We believe this could be resolved with engineering solutions, including 3, minor ramping and reprofiling the footway, and 12, the installation of a tree pit, which would extend slightly into the pavement, which would still be amply wide enough for pedestrians. We therefore advise the Council to consider this.

This tree has now been felled.



At Sheffield and Rotherham Wildlife Trust, we fully recognise the need to manage trees across the city and implement an ongoing plan of renewal and replacement – over a period of years. We fully recognise that Amey and the Council are dealing with a major backlog of poor street tree maintenance.

However, we strongly question the approaches being used: to set up a tree forum and abandon it, to set up an independent tree panel and then disregard their advice, to hold back the publication of letters from the ITP, to start towing away cars, knocking on doors and felling trees, with a police presence, in the early hours of the morning – surely there has to be a more constructive approach?